

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,969	09/22/2003	Katsumi Abe	q75817	4962
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/28/201 ION PLIC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PHAM, TAMMY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/664,969	ABE, KATSUMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TAMMY PHAM	2629	

	IAMMY PHAM	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar c, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Areno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 97 CFR 1.138(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period at under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compi filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection rejection. 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thou the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.16-26 and 29-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/ Tammy Pham /		
	Examiner, Art Unit 2629		

In regards to independent claim 1, Applicant submits that "Yatabe does not teach or suggest a signal line, which has VSP as high level voltage and marks 21)." This is not persuasive. The claim language remains bed and falls to specify that the high and low voltage must pass through the same "one signal line." Instead, the claim language broadly teaches that the high voltage "passing through the at least one signal line," which implies that there could be more than one signal line and that the low voltage passing through the signal line, which implies one signal line. But to the inconsistent number of lines that seems to be implied, it is not clear that the voltages must be "passing through through the signal line.

In regards to independent claim 1, Applicant submits that "FIC3. 11 of Yatabe, which shows circuit diagram of the inverter circuit used in the supply circuit does not teach or suggest that the voltage level of the signals of/A and B are VSP and VSN (Remarks 21)." This is not persuasive because Yatable is not relied upon to teach that, hence this argument appears to be moot.